

# ERRATUM: HEISENBERG GROUPS, FUNCTIONS AND THE WEIL REPRESENTATION

JAE-HYUN YANG

## Erratum

In the book “*Heisenberg Groups, Functions and the Weil Representation*” by Jae-Hyun Yang [KM Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2012, 155pp. ISBN: 978-89-6105-599-4], **Section 1.7** (pp. 58–64) should be corrected as follows:

### 1.7 Coadjoint Orbits

In this subsection, we find the coadjoint orbits of the Heisenberg group  $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)}$  and describe the connection between the coadjoint orbits and the unitary dual of  $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)}$  explicitly.

For brevity, we let  $G := H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)}$  as before. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and let  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  be the dual space of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We recall that  $\text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of all  $m \times m$  real symmetric matrices. We observe that  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be regarded as the subalgebra consisting of all  $2(m+n) \times 2(m+n)$  real matrices of the form

$$X(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & {}^t\beta \\ \alpha & 0 & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -{}^t\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, \quad \gamma \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$$

in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}(2(m+n), \mathbb{R})$  of the symplectic group  $Sp(2(m+n), \mathbb{R})$ . An easy computation yields

$$[X(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), X(\delta, \epsilon, \xi)] = X(0, 0, \alpha {}^t\epsilon + \epsilon {}^t\alpha - \beta {}^t\delta - \delta {}^t\beta).$$

The dual space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be identified with the vector space consisting of all  $2(m+n) \times 2(m+n)$  real matrices of the form

$$F(a, b, c) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & {}^ta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & {}^tb & 0 & 0 \\ b & c & -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, \quad c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$$

so that

$$\langle F(a, b, c), X(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \rangle := \sigma(F(a, b, c) X(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = 2\sigma({}^t\alpha a + {}^tb\beta) + \sigma(c\gamma). \quad (1.7.1)$$

The adjoint representation  $\text{Ad}_G$  of  $G$  is given by  $\text{Ad}_G(g)X = gXg^{-1}$  for  $g \in G$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . For  $g \in G$  and  $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $gFg^{-1}$  is not of the form  $F(a, b, c)$ . We denote by  $(gFg^{-1})_*$  the

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \text{part}$$

of the matrix  $gFg^{-1}$ . Then it is easy to see that the coadjoint representation  $\text{Ad}_G^* : G \longrightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  is given by  $\text{Ad}_G^*(g)F = (gFg^{-1})_*$ , where  $g \in G$  and  $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . More precisely,

$$\text{Ad}_G^*(g)F(a, b, c) = F(a + c\mu, b - c\lambda, c), \quad (1.7.2)$$

where  $g = (\lambda, \mu, \kappa) \in G$ . So the coadjoint  $G$ -orbit  $\Omega_{a,b}$  at  $F(a, b, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is given by

$$\Omega_{a,b} = \text{Ad}_G^*(G)F(a, b, 0) = \{F(a, b, 0)\}, \text{ a single point.} \quad (1.7.3)$$

And for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$  and  $c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$  with  $1 \leq k = \text{rank } c \leq m$ , the coadjoint  $G$ -orbit  $\Omega_{a,b,c,k}$  at  $F(a, b, c) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is given by

$$\Omega_{a,b,c,k} = \left\{ F(a + c\mu, b - c\lambda, c) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \right\} \cong \mathbb{R}^{(k,n)} \times \mathbb{R}^{(k,n)}. \quad (1.7.4)$$

Therefore the coadjoint  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  fall into two classes:

- (I) The single points  $\{ \Omega_{a,b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \}$  located in the plane  $c = 0$ .
- (II) The affine planes  $\{ \Omega_{a,b,c,k} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq \text{rank } c = k \leq m \}$ .

In other words, the orbit space  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  of coadjoint orbits is parametrized by

$$\begin{cases} a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq k = \text{rank } c \leq m; \\ (a, b)\text{-plane} \cong \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}. \end{cases}$$

**Definition.** (a) The single point coadjoint orbits of the type  $\Omega_{a,b}$  are said to be the *extremely degenerate*  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

(b) The flat coadjoint orbits of the type  $\Omega_{a,b,c,k}$  with  $1 \leq k = \text{rank } c < m$  are said to be the  *$(m - k)$ -degenerate*  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

(c) The flat coadjoint orbits of the type  $\Omega_{a,b,c,m}$  with  $\text{rank } c = m$  are said to be the *nondegenerate*  $G$ -orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

Since  $G$  is a connected and simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group, according to A. Kirillov (cf. [16] or [17, Theorem 1, p. 249]), the unitary dual  $\widehat{G}$  of  $G$  is given by

$$\widehat{G} = \coprod_{a,b,c,k} \Omega_{a,b,c,k} \coprod \left( \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \right), \quad (1.7.5)$$

where  $\coprod$  denotes the disjoint union,  $a$  and  $b$  run over  $\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$  and  $c$  ( $1 \leq k = \text{rank } c \leq m$ ) runs over  $\text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$ . We observe that  $\Omega_{a,b,c,k} \cong \mathbb{R}^{(k,n)} \times \mathbb{R}^{(k,n)}$ . The topology of  $\widehat{G}$  may be described as follows. The topology on  $\{ \Omega_{a,b,c,k} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, 0 \neq c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq k \leq m \}$  is the usual topology of the Euclidean space and the topology on  $\{ F(a, b, 0) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \}$  is the usual Euclidean topology. But a sequence on  $\{ \Omega_{a,b,c,k} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, 0 \neq c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq k \leq m \}$  which converges to 0 in the usual topology converges to the whole Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \times \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$  in the topology of  $\widehat{G}$ . This is just the quotient topology on  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$  so that algebraically and topologically  $\widehat{G} = \mathfrak{g}^*/G$ .

It is well known that each coadjoint orbit is a symplectic manifold. We will state this fact in detail. For the present time being, we fix an element  $F$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  once and for all. We consider the alternating  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form  $\mathbf{B}_F$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined by

$$\mathbf{B}_F(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle F, [X, Y] \rangle = \langle \text{ad}_\mathfrak{g}^*(Y)F, X \rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (1.7.6)$$

where  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  denotes the differential of the coadjoint representation  $\text{Ad}_G^* : G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ . More precisely, if  $F = F(a, b, c)$ ,  $X = X(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , and  $Y = X(\delta, \epsilon, \xi)$ , then

$$\mathbf{B}_F(X, Y) = \sigma\{c(\alpha^t \epsilon + \epsilon^t \alpha - \beta^t \delta - \delta^t \beta)\}. \quad (1.7.7)$$

For  $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , we let

$$G_F = \{g \in G \mid \text{Ad}_G^*(g)F = F\}$$

be the stabilizer of the coadjoint action  $\text{Ad}^*$  of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  at  $F$ . Since  $G_F$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ ,  $G_F$  is a Lie subgroup of  $G$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_F$  the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $G_F$ . Then it is easy to show that

$$\mathfrak{g}_F = \text{rad } \mathbf{B}_F = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(X)F = 0\}. \quad (1.7.8)$$

Here  $\text{rad } \mathbf{B}_F$  denotes the radical of  $\mathbf{B}_F$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We let  $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_F$  be the non-degenerate alternating  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form on the quotient vector space  $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad } \mathbf{B}_F$  induced from  $\mathbf{B}_F$ . Since we may identify the tangent space of the coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_F \cong G/G_F$  with  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_F = \mathfrak{g}/\text{rad } \mathbf{B}_F$ , we see that the tangent space of  $\Omega_F$  at  $F$  is a symplectic vector space with respect to the symplectic form  $\dot{\mathbf{B}}_F$ .

Now we are ready to prove that the coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_F = \text{Ad}_G^*(G)F$  is a symplectic manifold. We denote by  $\tilde{X}$  the smooth vector field on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  associated to  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . That means that for each  $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , we have

$$\tilde{X}(\ell) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(X) \ell. \quad (1.7.9)$$

We define the differential 2-form  $B_{\Omega_F}$  on  $\Omega_F$  by

$$B_{\Omega_F}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = B_{\Omega_F}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(X)F, \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(Y)F) := \mathbf{B}_F(X, Y), \quad (1.7.10)$$

where  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Lemma 19.**  $B_{\Omega_F}$  is non-degenerate.

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the smooth vector field on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  associated to  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $B_{\Omega_F}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0$  for all  $\tilde{Y}$  with  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $B_{\Omega_F}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \mathbf{B}_F(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_F$ . Thus  $\tilde{X} = 0$ . Hence  $B_{\Omega_F}$  is non-degenerate.  $\square$

**Lemma 20.**  $B_{\Omega_F}$  is closed.

*Proof.* If  $\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2$ , and  $\tilde{X}_3$  are three smooth vector fields on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  associated to  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & dB_{\Omega_F}(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3) \\ &= \tilde{X}_1(B_{\Omega_F}(\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3)) - \tilde{X}_2(B_{\Omega_F}(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_3)) + \tilde{X}_3(B_{\Omega_F}(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)) \\ &\quad - B_{\Omega_F}([\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2], \tilde{X}_3) + B_{\Omega_F}([\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_3], \tilde{X}_2) - B_{\Omega_F}([\tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3], \tilde{X}_1) \\ &= -\langle F, [[X_1, X_2], X_3] + [[X_2, X_3], X_1] + [[X_3, X_1], X_2] \rangle \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{by the Jacobi identity}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $B_{\Omega_F}$  is closed.  $\square$

In summary,  $(\Omega_F, B_{\Omega_F})$  is a symplectic manifold of dimension  $2kn$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ) or 0.

In order to describe the irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  corresponding to the coadjoint orbits under the Kirillov correspondence, we have to determine the polarizations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for the linear forms  $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

*Case I.*  $F = F(a, b, 0)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ ; the extremely degenerate case.

According to (1.7.3),  $\Omega_F = \Omega_{a,b} = \{F(a, b, 0)\}$  is a single point. It follows from (1.7.7) that  $\mathbf{B}_F(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the unique polarization of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for  $F$ . The Kirillov correspondence says that the irreducible unitary representation  $\pi_{a,b}$  of  $G$  corresponding to the coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_{a,b}$  is given by

$$\pi_{a,b}(\exp X(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = e^{2\pi i \langle F, X(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \rangle} = e^{4\pi i \sigma({}^t a \alpha + {}^t b \beta)}. \quad (1.7.11)$$

That is,  $\pi_{a,b}$  is a one-dimensional extremely degenerate representation of  $G$ .

*Case II.*  $F = F(a, b, c)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ ,  $c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$  with  $1 \leq \text{rank } c \leq m$ ;

According to (1.7.4),

$$\Omega_F = \Omega_{a,b,c,k} = \{F(a + c\mu, b - c\lambda, c) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}\}.$$

By (1.7.7), we see that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{X(0, \beta, \gamma) \mid \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, \gamma \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})\} \quad (1.7.12)$$

is a polarization of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for  $F$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{k}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  subordinate to  $F \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  which is maximal among the totally isotropic vector subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to the alternating  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form  $\mathbf{B}_F$ . Let  $K$  be the simply connected Lie subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We let

$$\chi_{a,b,c,k;\mathfrak{k}} : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^\times$$

be the unitary character of  $K$  defined by

$$\chi_{a,b,c,k;\mathfrak{k}}(\exp X(0, \beta, \gamma)) = e^{2\pi i \langle F, X(0, \beta, \gamma) \rangle} = e^{2\pi i \sigma(c\gamma + 2\beta {}^t b)}. \quad (1.7.13)$$

The Kirillov correspondence says that the irreducible unitary representation  $\pi_{a,b,c,k;\mathfrak{k}}$  of  $G$  corresponding to the coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_F = \Omega_{a,b,c,k}$  is given by

$$\pi_{a,b,c,k;\mathfrak{k}} = \text{Ind}_K^G \chi_{a,b,c,k;\mathfrak{k}}. \quad (1.7.14)$$

For  $a, b, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ , we have

$$\pi_{a,b,c,k;\mathfrak{k}}(\exp X(0, 0, \gamma)) = \pi_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, c, k;\mathfrak{k}}(\exp X(0, 0, \gamma))$$

for all  $\gamma \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$ . According to Kirillov's Theorem (cf. [16]),  $\pi_{a,b,c,k;\mathfrak{k}}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\pi_{\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, c, k;\mathfrak{k}}$  for all  $a, b, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ . So we denote the equivalence class of  $\pi_{a,b,c,k;\mathfrak{k}}$  by  $\pi_{c,k;\mathfrak{k}}$ . According to Kirillov's Theorem (cf. [16]), we know that the induced representation  $\pi_{c,k;\mathfrak{k}}$  is, up to equivalence, independent of the choice of a polarization of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for  $F$ . Thus we denote the equivalence class of  $\pi_{c,k;\mathfrak{k}}$  by  $\pi_{c,k}$ . We see that  $\pi_{c,k}$  is realized on the representation space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi)$  as follows:

$$(\pi_{c,k}(g)f)(\xi) = e^{2\pi i \sigma\{c(\kappa + \mu {}^t \lambda + 2\xi {}^t \mu)\}} f(\xi + \lambda), \quad (1.7.15)$$

where  $g = (\lambda, \mu, \kappa) \in G$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ . Using the fact that

$$\exp X(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left( \alpha, \beta, \gamma + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha {}^t \beta - \beta {}^t \alpha) \right),$$

we see that  $\pi_{c,k}$  is nothing but the Schrödinger representation  $U_c = U(\sigma_c)$  of  $G$  induced from the one-dimensional unitary representation  $\sigma_c$  of  $K$  given by  $\sigma_c((0, \mu, \kappa)) = e^{2\pi i \sigma(c\kappa)} I$  (cf. (1.4.6) and (1.4.8)). We note that  $\pi_{c,k}$  is the representation of  $G$  with central character

$\chi_{c,k} : \mathfrak{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_1^\times$  given by  $\chi_{c,k}((0,0,\kappa)) = e^{2\pi i \sigma(c\kappa)}$ . Here  $\mathfrak{Z} = \{(0,0,\kappa) \mid \kappa \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})\}$  denotes the center of  $G$ .

It is well known that the monomial representation  $(\pi_{c,k}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi))$  of  $G$  extends to an operator of trace class

$$\pi_{c,k}^1(\phi) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi) \quad (1.7.16)$$

for all  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ . Here  $C_c^\infty(G)$  is the vector space of all smooth functions on  $G$  with compact support. We let  $C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $C(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  the vector space of all smooth functions on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with compact support and the vector space of all continuous functions on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  respectively. If  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ , we define the Fourier cotransform

$$CF_{\mathfrak{g}} : C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow C(\mathfrak{g}^*)$$

by

$$(CF_{\mathfrak{g}}(f))(F') := \int_{\mathfrak{g}} f(X) e^{2\pi i \langle F', X \rangle} dX, \quad (1.7.17)$$

where  $F' \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $dX$  denotes the usual Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . According to A. Kirillov (cf. [47]), there exists a measure  $\beta$  on the coadjoint orbit

$$\Omega_{a,b,c,k} \approx \mathbb{R}^{(k,n)} \times \mathbb{R}^{(k,n)}$$

$$(a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R}), \text{rank } c = k, 1 \leq k \leq m)$$

which is invariant under the coadjoint action of  $G$  such that

$$\text{tr } \pi_{c,k}^1(\phi) = \int_{\Omega_c} CF_{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi \circ \exp)(F') d\beta(F') \quad (1.7.18)$$

holds for all test functions  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , where  $\exp$  denotes the exponential mapping of  $\mathfrak{g}$  onto  $G$ . We recall that

$$\pi_{c,k}^1(\phi)(f) := \int_G \phi(x) (\pi_{c,k}(x)f) dx,$$

where  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$  and  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi)$ . By the Plancherel theorem, the mapping

$$S(G/\mathfrak{Z}) \ni \varphi \longmapsto \pi_{c,k}^1(\varphi) \in \text{TC}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi))$$

extends to a unitary isometry

$$\pi_{c,k}^2 : L^2(G/\mathfrak{Z}, \chi_{c,k}) \longrightarrow \text{HS}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi)) \quad (1.7.19)$$

of the representation space  $L^2(G/\mathfrak{Z}, \chi_{c,k})$  of  $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{Z}}^G \chi_{c,k}$  onto the complex Hilbert space  $\text{HS}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi))$  consisting of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi)$ , where  $S(G/\mathfrak{Z})$  is the Schwartz space of all infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions on  $G/\mathfrak{Z} \cong \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \times \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$  that are rapidly decreasing at infinity and  $\text{TC}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi))$  denotes the complex vector space of all continuous  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi)$  into itself which are trace class.

In summary, we have the following result.

**Theorem 9.** *For  $F = F(a, b, 0) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , the irreducible unitary representation  $\pi_{a,b}$  of  $G$  corresponding to the coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_F = \Omega_{a,b}$  under the Kirillov correspondence is an extremely degenerate representation of  $G$  given by*

$$\pi_{a,b}(\exp X(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = e^{4\pi i \sigma({}^t a \alpha + {}^t b \beta)}.$$

On the other hand, for  $F = F(a, b, c) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ ,  $c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$  with  $1 \leq k = \text{rank } c \leq m$ , the irreducible unitary representation  $(\pi_{c,k}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi))$  of  $G$  corresponding to the coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_{a,b,c,k}$  under the Kirillov correspondence is unitary equivalent to the Schrödinger representation  $(U_c, L^2(\mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, d\xi))$  and this representation  $\pi_{c,k}$  is square integrable modulo its center  $\mathfrak{Z}$ . For all test functions  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , the character formula

$$\text{Tr}(\pi_{c,k}^2(\phi)) = \mathcal{C}(\phi, c) \int_{\text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})} \phi(0, 0, \kappa) e^{2\pi i \sigma(c\kappa)} d\kappa$$

holds for some constant  $\mathcal{C}(\phi, c)$  depending on  $\phi$  and  $c$ , where  $d\kappa$  is the Lebesgue measure on the Euclidean space  $\text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$ .

Now we consider the subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  (cf. (1.4.1)) given by

$$K := \{ (0, \mu, \kappa) \in G \mid \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, \kappa \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R}) \}.$$

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  of  $K$  is given by (1.7.12). The dual space  $\mathfrak{k}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$  may be identified with the space

$$\{ F(0, b, c) \mid b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R}) \}.$$

We let  $\text{Ad}_K^* : K \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{k}^*)$  be the coadjoint representation of  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{k}^*$ . The coadjoint  $K$ -orbit  $\omega_{b,c,k}$  at  $F(0, b, c) \in \mathfrak{k}^*$  with  $k = \text{rank } c$  is given by

$$\omega_{b,c,k} = \text{Ad}_K^*(K) F(0, b, c) = \{ F(c\mu, b, c) \mid \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \}. \quad (1.7.20)$$

Since  $K$  is a commutative group,  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] = 0$  and so the alternating  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form  $\mathbf{B}_F$  on  $\mathfrak{k}$  associated to  $F := F(0, b, c)$  identically vanishes on  $\mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{k}$  (cf. (1.7.6)).  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the unique polarization of  $\mathfrak{k}$  for  $F = F(0, b, c)$ . The Kirillov correspondence says that the irreducible unitary representation  $\chi_{b,c}$  of  $K$  corresponding to the coadjoint orbit  $\omega_{b,c}$  is given by

$$\chi_{b,c}(\exp X(0, \beta, \gamma)) = e^{2\pi i \langle F(0, b, c), X(0, \beta, \gamma) \rangle} = e^{2\pi i \sigma(2b^t \beta + c\gamma)} \quad (1.7.21)$$

or

$$\chi_{b,c}((0, \mu, \kappa)) = e^{2\pi i \sigma(2b^t \mu + c\kappa)}, \quad (0, \mu, \kappa) \in K. \quad (1.7.22)$$

For  $0 \neq c \in \text{Sym}(m, \mathbb{R})$  with  $1 \leq k = \text{rank } c \leq m$ , we let  $\pi_{c,k}$  be the Schrödinger representation of  $G$  given by (1.7.15). We know that  $\pi_{c,k}$  is the irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  corresponding to the coadjoint orbit

$$\Omega_{a,b,c,k} = \text{Ad}_G^*(G) F(a, b, c) = \left\{ F(a + c\mu, b - c\lambda, c) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \right\}.$$

Let  $p : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$  be the natural projection defined by  $p(F(a, b, c)) = F(0, b, c)$ . Obviously we have

$$p(\Omega_{a,b,c,k}) = \left\{ F(0, b, c) \mid b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)} \right\} = \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}} \omega_{b,c,k}.$$

According to Kirillov's Theorem (cf. [17, Theorem 1, p. 249]), the restriction  $\pi_{c,k}|_K$  of  $\pi_{c,k}$  to  $K$  is the direct integral of all one-dimensional representations  $\chi_{b,c}$  of  $K$  ( $b \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}$ ). Conversely, we let  $\chi_{b,c}$  be the element of  $\widehat{K}$  corresponding to the coadjoint orbit  $\omega_{b,c,k}$  of  $K$ . The induced representation  $\text{Ind}_K^G \chi_{b,c}$  is nothing but the Schrödinger representation  $\pi_{c,k}$ . The coadjoint orbit  $\Omega_{a,b,c,k}$  of  $G$  is the only coadjoint orbit such that  $\Omega_{a,b,c,k} \cap p^{-1}(\omega_{b,c,k})$  is nonempty.

AND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

INHA UNIVERSITY

INCHEON 22212, KOREA

*Email address:* `jhyang@inha.ac.kr` or `yangsiegel@naver.com`